

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/040,803	Applicant(s) DARROW ET AL.	
	Examiner William W. Moore	Art Unit 1652	

All Participants:

(1) William W. Moore, Examiner.

(2) Ms. Linda S. Evans, Applicant's Counsel.

Date of Interview: 11 August 2004

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:
None
 Claims discussed:
15
 Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____


(4) _____

Time: 3:45PM

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Authorization was requested of, and received from, Applicant's Counsel for an examiner's amendment to clarify claim 15 that divides clause (b) into two separate clauses, (b) and (c), and adds a terminal clause stating, "whereby an inhibitory compound is identified".



Handwritten signature of the Applicant's Counsel, appearing to be "AOL".